



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_6439
Title of the Manuscript:	Fatalities in the Nigerian Construction Industry – A Case of Poor Safety Culture
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The legislative framework and protection should be discussed with the existing monitoring mechanisms and the viable prosperity of the technological advantages' spur, in order to affiliate the general readership to the examined area. In the section entitled "Construction Safety Culture Method" the 12 steps should be described in association to relative references in order to rationalize their dominant role to the building sector and reinforce the innovativeness and the validity of the authors' study. The building capacity, the spatial management, and the status of the surveying-based tertiary/vocational education (and training: VET) should be also discussed in a distinct subsection. Specific economic demands that are associated to the implementation of the seven activities that are denoted in the Conclusion section, as well as the possibility of local acceptance or resistance should be also addressed in this Conclusion section.	The legislative framework and protection with the existing monitoring mechanism has now been discussed see the highlighted areas of section 1.8 legal framework in page 8. The appropriate reference for the section has now been introduced see the highlighted area of the section in page 8. The study focused on construction in general terms without any specific reference to any building capacity, spatial management and the status of the surveying-based tertiary/vocation education. It assumes that fatalities can occur in any kind of construction irrespective of status. The specific economic demands that are associated with the implementation of the seven activities as well as possibility of local acceptance or resistance have been included see the highlighted areas of the conclusion in pages 18 and 19.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is clearly written, it is understandable, and the outcomes are sound and straightforward. Nevertheless, a scrutinized revision should eliminate the collating words and few syntax errors, where applicable.	Thanks for your observation. The paper has further been scrutinized to eliminate collating words and observed syntax errors.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The methodological approach is sound while the reference sources are abundant and appropriately presented/discussed within the text body.	Thanks for your observation.
---	---	------------------------------